



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,863	12/16/2003	Robert Emmett Atkinson	AEWI-I	5348
34485 7590 05/22/2008				
ROBERT E. ATKINSON, PC				
2679 RIVIERA DRIVE SOUTH				
WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110				
EXAMINER				
KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3762				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/736,863

Applicant(s)

ATKINSON ET AL.

Examiner

MICHAEL KAHELIN

Art Unit

3762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL KAHELIN.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Atkinson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Smyth (US 4,393,883) and McCorkle (US 4,458,677).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed an amendment including a port distal of the distal electrodes and an anchor that is tied by a knot to the tether. Examiner cited McCorkle as possibly teaching the first limitation, but indicated that the "tied by a knot" limitation appears to overcome all applied references, pending further search and consideration. No agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George R Evanisko/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.